

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,802	04/18/2001	Hans Ruckert	21753	5223
535	7590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER	
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
5676 RIVERD	ALE AVENUE		C	DADED MANDED
PO BOX 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RIVERDALE	(BRONX), NY 10471-	0900	3724	

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

くと

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/786,802	RUCKERT ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac N Hamilton	3724	ı			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 25-33.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		BOYER ASH PRIMARY EXAL				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although the combination of previous claims 25, 31 and 32 has been considered and rejected in paragraphs 5 and 7 of the last Office action, mailed 04/08/2004, the dependency of claims 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 33 on the newly amended claim 25 has not been considered. In light of claims 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 33 being dependent on a newly amended claim 25, further consideration and search is required.